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When analyzing concurrent processes, the shuffle operator is the main source of combinatorial explosion. [Mi80], [ClGrPe99]
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- syntactic objects $\Rightarrow$ Process trees
- their semantic interpretation $\Rightarrow$ Shuffle trees
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## Ideas

- to consider these objects as combinatorial structures
- to use analytic combinatorics for quantitative studies


## Process trees and shuffle trees

A process tree is a specification of events with precedence constraints:


## Process trees and shuffle trees

A process tree is a specification of events with precedence constraints:


The induced shuffle tree lists all admissible concurrent runs by sharing prefixes, as in a trie:
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## Building shuffle trees (1)

## Definition: Child contraction

Let $T$ be a tree with children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}$ whose root-events are $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$. The $i$-contraction of $T$ is the tree $T \triangleleft i$ with root $\ell_{i}$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{i-1}, T_{i_{1}}, \ldots, T_{i_{m}}, T_{i+1}, \ldots, T_{r}$ where $T_{i_{1}}, \ldots, T_{i_{m}}$ are the children of $T_{i}$.

## Example

$$
T=
$$

## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):


## Building shuffle trees (2)

## Recursive definition

Let $T$ be a tree. Its shuffle tree $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is defined inductively as:

- if $T$ is a leaf, then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T):=T$
- if $T$ has root-event $\ell$ and children $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\left(r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)$ then $\operatorname{Shuf}(T)$ is the tree with root-event $\ell$ and children $\operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft 1), \ldots, \operatorname{Shuf}(T \triangleleft r)$

Example (Shuffle / Contraction):
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## Recursive definition
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Example (Shuffle / Contraction):
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## Branches of shuffle trees



## Observation

Information is extremely redundant in shuffle trees:
One can recover the process tree by traversing a single branch of the shuffle tree.

## Goals

In order to analyze the combinatorial explosion of shuffle trees, we want to answer the following questions:

- What is the number of runs for a given process tree $T$ ? $\Rightarrow$ the number of leaves in Shuf ( $T$ )
- What is the size of the shuffle tree induced by $T$ ? $\Rightarrow$ no correlation known with the number of runs (sharing)


## Main results
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## Concurrent runs and increasing trees (1)

## Definition: Increasing tree

An increasing tree is a labelled plane tree such that the sequence of labels along any branch starting at the root is increasing.
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## Concurrent runs and increasing trees (2)

## Lemma: Bijection

Let $T$ be a process tree. The number of runs associated to $T$ corresponds to the number of increasing trees whose structure is the unlabelled tree $T$.


## Number of concurrent runs

## Theorem: Hook length in trees [ Kn 73 ]

Let $T$ be a unlabelled tree.
The number of increasing trees built on $T$ equals:

$$
\ell_{T}=\frac{|T|!}{\prod_{R \text { subtree of } T}|R|}
$$

This corresponds equivalently to the number of runs induced by $T$.


$$
\ell_{T}=\frac{6!}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}=8
$$

O. Bodini, A. Genitrini, F. Peschanski Concurrent Computations

## Mean number of runs and mean growth

## Proposition

The arithmetic mean number of runs built on trees of size $n$ is:

$$
\bar{\ell}_{n} \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 \sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{2 e}\right)^{n} .
$$

## Mean number of runs and mean growth

## Proposition

The arithmetic mean number of runs built on trees of size $n$ is:

$$
\bar{\ell}_{n} \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 \sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{2 e}\right)^{n} .
$$

## Proposition

The geometric mean growth between trees of size $n$ and their number of runs is:

$$
\bar{\Gamma}_{n} \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2 \pi} n^{n-1} \exp (-(1+2 L(1 / 4)) n+\sqrt{\pi n}+L(1 / 4)),
$$

with $L(1 / 4)=\sum_{n>1} \log n \cdot C a t_{n} \cdot 4^{-n} \approx 0.579043921 \pm 5 \cdot 10^{-9}$.
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## Mean size of shuffle trees

## Theorem

The mean size $\bar{s}_{n}$ of a shuffle tree induced by a tree of size $n$ follows a P-recurrence and satisfies:

$$
\bar{s}_{n} \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 e \sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{2 e}\right)^{n}
$$

## Outline of the proof (1)

First step:
The generating function of the cumulative size of shuffle trees.
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- $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{Z} \times \operatorname{Seq} \mathcal{C}$
$\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \times \operatorname{Seq}(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{C})$
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## First step:

The generating function of the cumulative size of shuffle trees.
-

$$
\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{U}^{\square u} \star \mathcal{Z} \times \operatorname{Seq}(\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{C})
$$

- $S(z, u)=\int_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{z}{1-S(z, v)-C(z)} d v=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} S_{n, k} \cdot z^{n} \cdot \frac{u^{k}}{k!}$ where $S_{n, k}$ is

- By substituting $u^{k}$ by $k$ ! (Gamma transformation) we obtain the generating function $S(z)$ for the size of the shuffle trees.

$$
S(z)=\int_{u=0}^{\infty} S(z, u) \exp (-u) d u .
$$

## Outline of the proof (2)

Second step: Assisted proof using gfun.
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Second step: Assisted proof using gfun.

- As $S(z, u)$ is algebraic, it is holonomic.
- As $S(z, u)$ is holonomic, its Laplace transform is holonomic:

$$
\hat{S}(z, u)=\int_{v=0}^{\infty} S(z, u v) \exp (-v) d v
$$

- Using the holonomic stability under partial evaluation, $S(z)$ is holonomic.
- As $S(z)$ is holonomic, its coefficients $s_{n}$ follows a P-reccurence.


## Computer assisted ?
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Third step: Asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients of $\bar{s}_{n}$.

- Classical method gives:

$$
\bar{s}_{n} \cdot \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!}=\theta(1)
$$

- Some more work is necessary to obtain the constant.
- Finally,

$$
\bar{s}_{n} \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}}
$$
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## Probability of a run prefix

Data: $T$ : a weighted process tree of size $n$
Data: $\sigma:=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right\rangle$ : a run prefix of length $p \leq n$ Result: $\rho_{\sigma}$ : the probability of $\sigma$ in the shuffle of $T$
$\rho_{\sigma}:=1$
$i:=1$
for $i$ from 1 to $p-1$ do
$\rho_{\sigma}:=\rho_{\sigma} \times \frac{\left|T\left(\alpha_{i+1}\right)\right|}{n-i}$
$i:=i+1$
return $\rho_{\sigma}$
Directly deduced from the hook length formula.

## Proposition

The number of runs of a process tree $T$ of size $n$ can be computed in $O(n)$ operations.
[At90] gave a quadratic complexity algorithm.

## Uniform random generation example
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> take
> $5 \in\{1 . .11\}$
$a|0,11,0| L$
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\text { run }=[a]
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search

$$
\frac{7 \in\{1 . .10\}}{b|0,10,0| L}
$$


empty

$$
\text { run }=[a]
$$

## Uniform random generation example

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { take } \\
7 \in\{1 . .10\} \\
b|0,10,0| L
\end{array}
$$



## Uniform random generation example

$$
c|0,3,0| L
$$



## Uniform random generation example

construct; invert bit
\{1..9\}

empty

$$
\text { run }=[a, b]
$$
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search

empty
run $=[a, b]$
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construct; invert bit
\{1..8\}


empty

$$
\text { run }=[a, b, c]
$$
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search

empty
run $=[a, b, c]$
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search

empty
run $=[a, b, c, e]$
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search


empty
run $=[a, b, c, e, f]$
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empty
run $=[a, b, c, e, f, g, h]$
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## Uniform random generation example

random choice; search

$\operatorname{run}=[a, b, c, e, f, \sigma, h, j, i]$
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random choice; search

$\operatorname{run}=[a, b, c, e, f, g, h, j, i, d]$
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run $=[a, b, C, e, f, b, h, j, i, d]$
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## Uniform random generation example


$\operatorname{run}=[a, b, c, e, f, g, h, j, i, d, k]$
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