G-	beri	iec	t
non	line	ari	ty

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

### G-perfect nonlinearity

#### Laurent Poinsot

Université du Sud Toulon-Var (France)

Organized by Professor J. Davis University of Richmond

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

#### Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions :

Balance :

Non correlation ;

High algebraic degree ;

Perfect nonlinearity (bentness).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

### Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions : Balance ;

(日)

Non correlation ;

High algebraic degree ;

Perfect nonlinearity (bentness).



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions :

(日)

Balance;

Non correlation ;

High algebraic degree ;

Perfect nonlinearity (bentness).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions :

- Balance;
- Non correlation ;
- High algebraic degree ;
- Perfect nonlinearity (bentness).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Let *G* and *H* be two finite groups. A mapping  $f : G \to H$  is called perfect nonlinear (or *planar*) if for each nonzero  $\alpha$  in *G* and each  $\beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

Let define  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  :  $G \rightarrow G$  as  $x \mapsto \alpha + x$ . The previous equation can naturally be re-written as :

$$|\{x \in G | f(\sigma_{\alpha}(x)) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Let *G* and *H* be two finite groups. A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is called perfect nonlinear (or *planar*) if for each nonzero  $\alpha$  in *G* and each  $\beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}.$$

Let define  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ :  $G \rightarrow G$  as  $x \mapsto \alpha + x$ . The previous equation can naturally be re-written as :

$$|\{x \in G | f(\sigma_{\alpha}(x)) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Let *G* and *H* be two finite groups. A mapping  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is called perfect nonlinear (or *planar*) if for each nonzero  $\alpha$  in *G* and each  $\beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}.$$

Let define  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ :  $G \rightarrow G$  as  $x \mapsto \alpha + x$ . The previous equation can naturally be re-written as :

$$|\{x \in G | f(\sigma_{\alpha}(x)) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Outline

Now let *G* and *H* be two finite groups and *X* be a finite nonempty set on which *G* acts. A function  $f : X \rightarrow H$  is *G*-perfect nonlinear if for each nonzero *g* in *G* and for each  $\beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in X | f(\underline{g}.\underline{x}) - f(\underline{x}) = \beta\}| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}.$$

1

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Outline

- Basics on cryptography
  - Basics on cryptanalysis

- - - ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ ●

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Outline

#### 1 Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- **G**-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Outline

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Outline

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness 4
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Outline

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of G-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

1

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Basics on cryptography

Basics on cryptanalysis

#### Traditional Approach

- Perfect nonlinearity
- Bent functions
- Difference sets
- Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - *G*-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# *Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel.

n this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

An encryption algorithm *E* ;

- A decryption algorithm D;
- A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);

For each encryption key k there is a decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext m

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets *Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel. In this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

An encryption algorithm *E* ;

- A decryption algorithm D;
- A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);

For each encryption key k there is a decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext m

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets *Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel.

In this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

An encryption algorithm *E*;

#### • A decryption algorithm *D*;

 A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);

For each encryption key k there is a decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext m

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of *G*-bentness
- G-difference sets

*Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel.

In this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

- An encryption algorithm *E*;
- A decryption algorithm D;
- A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);

For each encryption key k there is a decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext m

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets *Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel.

In this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

- An encryption algorithm *E*;
- A decryption algorithm D;
- A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);

■ For each encryption key *k* there is a decryption key *k*<sup>-7</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext *m* 

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets *Alice* wants to send a confidential message *m* to *Bob* over a public channel.

In this situation they need a cryptosystem that consists in :

- An encryption algorithm E;
- A decryption algorithm D;
- A set of encryption keys and a set of decryption keys (they can be different);
- For each encryption key k there is a decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> (not necessary unique) such that for each plaintext m

 $D(E(m,k),k^{-1})=m.$ 

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Alice computes the ciphertext c corresponding to the plaintext m and the encryption key k by

c=E(m,k).

Alice sends c to Bob on the public channel;
 Bob recovers the plaintext m by

$$m=D(c,k^{-1}).$$

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Alice computes the ciphertext c corresponding to the plaintext m and the encryption key k by

c = E(m, k).

Alice sends c to Bob on the public channel;
Bob recovers the plaintext m by

 $m=D(c,k^{-1}).$ 

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Alice computes the ciphertext c corresponding to the plaintext m and the encryption key k by

c = E(m, k).

Alice sends c to Bob on the public channel;
 Bob recovers the plaintext m by

 $m=D(c,k^{-1}).$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Alice computes the ciphertext c corresponding to the plaintext m and the encryption key k by

c = E(m, k).

Alice sends c to Bob on the public channel;
Bob recovers the plaintext m by

$$m=D(c,k^{-1}).$$

(日)

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Alice computes the ciphertext c corresponding to the plaintext m and the encryption key k by

c = E(m, k).

Alice sends c to Bob on the public channel;
Bob recovers the plaintext m by

$$m=D(c,k^{-1}).$$

### Two main kinds of cryptosystems

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- Secret-key (or symmetric) schemes : k and  $k^{-1}$  are identical and only known by Alice and Bob ;
- Public-key (or asymmetric) schemes : the encryption key k is public (known by everybody), the decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> is a secret quantity only known by Bob.

### Two main kinds of cryptosystems

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

#### Secret-key (or symmetric) schemes : k and k<sup>-1</sup> are identical and only known by Alice and Bob;

Public-key (or asymmetric) schemes : the encryption key k is public (known by everybody), the decryption key  $k^{-1}$  is a secret quantity only known by Bob.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

### Two main kinds of cryptosystems

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

- Secret-key (or symmetric) schemes : k and k<sup>-1</sup> are identical and only known by Alice and Bob;
- Public-key (or asymmetric) schemes : the encryption key k is public (known by everybody), the decryption key k<sup>-1</sup> is a secret quantity only known by Bob.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function *f* to a plaintext. In an *r*-round iterated cipher we have

 $\kappa_i = f(k_i, x_{i-1})$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function f to a plaintext. In an r-round iterated cipher we have

$$\kappa_i = f(k_i, x_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function *f* to a plaintext.

n an *r*-round iterated cipher we have

$$\mathbf{x}_i = f(k_i, \mathbf{x}_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function f to a plaintext.

In an *r*-round iterated cipher we have

$$\mathbf{x}_i = f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).

In such cryptosystems for any round key *k* the function  $f_k : x \mapsto f(x, k)$  is a permutation. Examples : DES, AES, ...

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function f to a plaintext.

In an *r*-round iterated cipher we have

$$x_i = f(k_i, x_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).

In such cryptosystems for any round key *k* the function  $f_k : x \mapsto f(x, k)$  is a permutation.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A block cipher is a (secret-key) cryptosystem in which the plaintexts are divided into several blocks of bits of same length.

An iterated block cipher consists in an iterative application of a (keyed) round function f to a plaintext.

In an *r*-round iterated cipher we have

$$x_i = f(k_i, x_{i-1})$$
 for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

where  $x_0$  is the plaintext,  $x_r$  is the ciphertext and  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$  are the subkeys of each round (obtained from a main secret-key).
# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# Differential and Linear Attacks Basics on cryptography

- Basics on cryptanalysis
- Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

# Brute force attack (or exhaustive search)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Algorithm

Given a ciphertext c, try all the possible secret-keys k such that D(c, k) gives a "correct" plaintext.

If the key length is *I* then this attack needs an average of  $2^{l-1}$  tries. (If l = 128 bits a cryptosystem is supposed to be secure against such an attack.) A cryptosystem is secure if it is not vulnerable to a cryptosystem is more efficient than the exhaustive

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Brute force attack (or exhaustive search)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Algorithm

Given a ciphertext c, try all the possible secret-keys k such that D(c, k) gives a "correct" plaintext.

If the key length is *I* then this attack needs an average of  $2^{l-1}$  tries. (If l = 128 bits a cryptosystem is supposed to be secure against such an attack.)

A cryptosystem is secure if it is not vulnerable to a cryptanalysis which is more efficient than the exhaustive search.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Brute force attack (or exhaustive search)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Algorithm

Given a ciphertext c, try all the possible secret-keys k such that D(c, k) gives a "correct" plaintext.

If the key length is *l* then this attack needs an average of  $2^{l-1}$  tries. (If l = 128 bits a cryptosystem is supposed to be secure against such an attack.)

A cryptosystem is secure if it is not vulnerable to a cryptanalysis which is more efficient than the exhaustive search.

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

### Objective

Recover the last round key  $k_r$  from the knowledge of some pairs of plaintexts and corresponding ciphertexts.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Principle

- Distinguish the reduced cipher,  $G = f_{k_{r-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{k_1}$ , from a random permutation for all round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ .
- If such a discriminator can be found, some information on  $k_r$  can be recovered by checking wheter, for a given value  $k_r$ , the function

$$x_0 \mapsto f_{k_r}^{-1}(x_r)$$

satisfies this property or not, where  $x_0$  (resp.  $x_r$ ) denotes the plaintext (resp. the ciphertext). The values of  $k_r$  for which the expected statistical bias is observed are candidates for the correct last-round key.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Principle

- Distinguish the reduced cipher,  $G = f_{k_{r-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{k_1}$ , from a random permutation for all round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ .
- If such a discriminator can be found, some information on  $k_r$  can be recovered by checking wheter, for a given value  $k_r$ , the function

$$x_0\mapsto f_{k_r}^{-1}(x_r)$$

satisfies this property or not, where  $x_0$  (resp.  $x_r$ ) denotes the plaintext (resp. the ciphertext). The values of  $k_r$  for which the expected statistical bias is observed are candidates for the correct last-round key.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Principle

- Distinguish the reduced cipher,  $G = f_{k_{r-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{k_1}$ , from a random permutation for all round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ .
- If such a discriminator can be found, some information on k<sub>r</sub> can be recovered by checking wheter, for a given value k<sub>r</sub>, the function

$$x_0 \mapsto f_{k_r}^{-1}(x_r)$$

satisfies this property or not, where  $x_0$  (resp.  $x_r$ ) denotes the plaintext (resp. the ciphertext). The values of  $k_r$  for which the expected statistical bias is observed are candidates for the correct last-round key.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

### **Different discriminators**

- The reduced cipher *G* has a derivative,  $d_{\alpha}G: x \mapsto G(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus G(x)$ , which is not uniformly distributed. This discriminator leads to a differential attack;
- There exists a linear combination of the n output bits of the reduced cipher which is close to an affine function. This leads to a linear attack;
- The reduced cipher, seen as a univariate polynomial in GF(2<sup>m</sup>)[X], is close to a low-degree polynomial. This leads to an interpolation attack.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### **Different discriminators**

- The reduced cipher G has a derivative, d<sub>α</sub>G : x → G(x ⊕ α) ⊕ G(x), which is not uniformly distributed. This discriminator leads to a differential attack;
- There exists a linear combination of the n output bits of the reduced cipher which is close to an affine function. This leads to a linear attack;
- The reduced cipher, seen as a univariate polynomial in GF(2<sup>m</sup>)[X], is close to a low-degree polynomial. This leads to an interpolation attack.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### **Different discriminators**

- The reduced cipher *G* has a derivative,  $d_{\alpha}G: x \mapsto G(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus G(x)$ , which is not uniformly distributed. This discriminator leads to a differential attack;
- There exists a linear combination of the *n* output bits of the reduced cipher which is close to an affine function. This leads to a linear attack;
  - The reduced cipher, seen as a univariate polynomial in GF(2<sup>m</sup>)[X], is close to a low-degree polynomial. This leads to an interpolation attack.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

### **Different discriminators**

- The reduced cipher G has a derivative, d<sub>α</sub>G : x → G(x ⊕ α) ⊕ G(x), which is not uniformly distributed. This discriminator leads to a differential attack;
- There exists a linear combination of the *n* output bits of the reduced cipher which is close to an affine function. This leads to a linear attack;
- The reduced cipher, seen as a univariate polynomial in GF(2<sup>m</sup>)[X], is close to a low-degree polynomial. This leads to an interpolation attack.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group actior based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a differential  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that

 $\Pr(G(x) \oplus G(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta)$ 

is far from the uniform distribution;

Choose at random a plaintext  $x_0$  and encrypt both  $x_0$ and  $x_0 \oplus \alpha$ . We obtain two pairs of plaintexts and ciphertexts  $(x_0, x_r)$  and  $(x_0 \oplus \alpha, x'_r)$ ;

Find all possible values of the last round key k<sub>r</sub> such that

$$f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)\oplus f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r')=eta$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a differential  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that

 $\Pr(G(x) \oplus G(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta)$ 

## is far from the uniform distribution;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and encrypt both x<sub>0</sub> and x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α. We obtain two pairs of plaintexts and ciphertexts (x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>r</sub>) and (x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α, x'<sub>r</sub>);

Find all possible values of the last round key k<sub>r</sub> such that

$$f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)\oplus f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r')=eta$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a differential  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that

```
\Pr(G(x) \oplus G(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta)
```

is far from the uniform distribution;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and encrypt both x<sub>0</sub> and x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α. We obtain two pairs of plaintexts and ciphertexts (x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>r</sub>) and (x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α, x'<sub>r</sub>);

Find all possible values of the last round key k<sub>r</sub> such that

$$f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)\oplus f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r')=eta$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a differential  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that

$$\Pr(G(x) \oplus G(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta)$$

is far from the uniform distribution;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and encrypt both x<sub>0</sub> and x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α. We obtain two pairs of plaintexts and ciphertexts (x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>r</sub>) and (x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α, x'<sub>r</sub>);

Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)\oplus f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r')=eta$$
;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group actior based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Find a differential  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that

$$\Pr(G(x) \oplus G(x \oplus \alpha) = \beta)$$

is far from the uniform distribution;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and encrypt both x<sub>0</sub> and x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α. We obtain two pairs of plaintexts and ciphertexts (x<sub>0</sub>, x<sub>r</sub>) and (x<sub>0</sub> ⊕ α, x'<sub>r</sub>);

Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)\oplus f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r')=eta$$
;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a mask  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that the equation

 $\alpha.x_0\oplus\beta.G(x_0)=0$ 

is satisfied for most plaintexts  $x_0$  and round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ ;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and compute its ciphertext x<sub>r</sub>;

Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$lpha. x_{f 0} \oplus eta. f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r) = f 0$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a mask  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that the equation

$$\alpha.x_0\oplus\beta.G(x_0)=0$$

is satisfied for most plaintexts  $x_0$  and round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ ;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and compute its ciphertext x<sub>r</sub>;

Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$lpha. x_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus eta. f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r) = \mathbf{0}$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a mask  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that the equation

$$\alpha.x_0\oplus\beta.G(x_0)=0$$

is satisfied for most plaintexts  $x_0$  and round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ ;

Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and compute its ciphertext x<sub>r</sub>;

Find all possible values of the last round key k<sub>r</sub> such that

$$lpha. x_{f 0} \oplus eta. f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r) = {f 0}$$
 ;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a mask  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that the equation

$$\alpha.x_0\oplus\beta.G(x_0)=0$$

is satisfied for most plaintexts  $x_0$  and round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ ;

- Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and compute its ciphertext x<sub>r</sub>;
- Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$lpha.x_0\opluseta.f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)=0$$
;

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Find a mask  $(\alpha, \beta)$  so that the equation

$$\alpha.x_0\oplus\beta.G(x_0)=0$$

is satisfied for most plaintexts  $x_0$  and round keys  $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}$ ;

- Choose at random a plaintext x<sub>0</sub> and compute its ciphertext x<sub>r</sub>;
- Find all possible values of the last round key  $\hat{k}_r$  such that

$$lpha.x_0\opluseta.f_{\widehat{k}_r}^{-1}(x_r)=0$$
 ;

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets In most cases, differential and/or linear weaknesses of the reduced cipher can be detected only if the round function f presents a similar default. Then the round function should satisfy the following property for any round key k:

For any nonzero block  $\alpha$ , the distribution of differences  $f_k(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f_k(x)$  should be close to the uniform distribution (Boolean perfect nonlinear functions);

For any nonzero block  $\beta$ , the Boolean function  $x \mapsto \beta f_k(x)$  should be far away from all affine functions (Boolean bent functions).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets In most cases, differential and/or linear weaknesses of the reduced cipher can be detected only if the round function f presents a similar default. Then the round function should satisfy the following property for any round key k:

For any nonzero block α, the distribution of differences f<sub>k</sub>(x ⊕ α) ⊕ f<sub>k</sub>(x) should be close to the uniform distribution (Boolean perfect nonlinear functions);

For any nonzero block  $\beta$ , the Boolean function  $x \mapsto \beta f_k(x)$  should be far away from all affine functions (Boolean bent functions).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets In most cases, differential and/or linear weaknesses of the reduced cipher can be detected only if the round function f presents a similar default. Then the round function should satisfy the following property for any round key k:

For any nonzero block  $\alpha$ , the distribution of differences  $f_k(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f_k(x)$  should be close to the uniform distribution (Boolean perfect nonlinear functions);

For any nonzero block  $\beta$ , the Boolean function  $x \mapsto \beta f_k(x)$  should be far away from all affine functions (Boolean bent functions).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets In most cases, differential and/or linear weaknesses of the reduced cipher can be detected only if the round function f presents a similar default. Then the round function should satisfy the following property for any round key k:

For any nonzero block  $\alpha$ , the distribution of differences  $f_k(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f_k(x)$  should be close to the uniform distribution (Boolean perfect nonlinear functions);

For any nonzero block  $\beta$ , the Boolean function

 $x \mapsto \beta f_k(x)$  should be far away from all affine functions (Boolean bent functions).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

#### Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets In most cases, differential and/or linear weaknesses of the reduced cipher can be detected only if the round function f presents a similar default. Then the round function should satisfy the following property for any round key k:

For any nonzero block  $\alpha$ , the distribution of differences  $f_k(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f_k(x)$  should be close to the uniform distribution (Boolean perfect nonlinear functions);

For any nonzero block  $\beta$ , the Boolean function  $x \mapsto \beta f_k(x)$  should be far away from all affine functions (Boolean bent functions).

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
  Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

# History

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition (Nyberg, 1991)

A function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is perfect nonlinear if for each nonzero  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$  and for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ ,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m | f(\alpha \oplus x) \oplus f(x) = \beta\}| = 2^{m-n}$$

(日)

Ensure the maximal resistance against the differential attack.

# In the finite abelian groups setting (1)

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets For a group G,  $0_G$  is its identity element and  $G^* = G \setminus \{0_G\}$ .

### Definition

Let *G* and *H* be two finite abelian groups and  $f : G \rightarrow H$ .

■ *f* is balanced if for each  $\beta \in H$ ,  $|\{x \in G | f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|};$ 

The derivative of f with respect to  $\alpha \in G$  is defined by

$$egin{array}{rcl} & {\mathcal G} & o & {\mathcal H} \ & x & \mapsto & f(lpha + x) - f(x) \ . \end{array}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# In the finite abelian groups setting (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

### Definition

A function  $f : G \to H$  is (classical) perfect nonlinear if  $\forall \alpha \in G^*, d_{\alpha}f$  is balanced, *i.e.*  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$  and  $\forall \beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Remark

If *G* is a nonabelian group, such a function is called left-perfect nonlinear.

# In the finite abelian groups setting (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Definition

A function  $f : G \to H$  is (classical) perfect nonlinear if  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$ ,  $d_{\alpha}f$  is balanced, *i.e.*  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$  and  $\forall \beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Remark

If *G* is a nonabelian group, such a function is called **left-perfect nonlinear**.

# In the finite abelian groups setting (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Definition

A function  $f : G \to H$  is (classical) perfect nonlinear if  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$ ,  $d_{\alpha}f$  is balanced, *i.e.*  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$  and  $\forall \beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in G | f(\alpha + x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$$

Remark

If *G* is a nonabelian group, such a function is called left-perfect nonlinear.

## Equivalent characterizations

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## (Traditional) perfect nonlinearity $\Leftrightarrow$

By the Fourier transform : notion of bentness ; Combinatorial characterization by difference sets.

## Equivalent characterizations

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## (Traditional) perfect nonlinearity $\Leftrightarrow$

By the Fourier transform : notion of bentness ;

Combinatorial characterization by difference sets.

## Equivalent characterizations

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## (Traditional) perfect nonlinearity $\Leftrightarrow$

- By the Fourier transform : notion of bentness ;
- Combinatorial characterization by difference sets.
## Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks

## Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity

## Bent functions

- Difference sets
- Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

## History

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition (Dillon 1974, Rothaus 1976)

A function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is bent if for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ ,

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_2^m}(-1)^{f(x)\oplus\alpha.x}=\pm 2^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Ensure the maximal resistance against the linear attack.

(日)

## Example

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## The following mapping

$$f: \quad \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m \quad \to \quad \mathbb{Z}_2$$
$$(x, y) \qquad \mapsto \quad x.y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m x_i y_i .$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

is a bent function.

## In the finite abelian groups setting (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets The dual group of G, denoted  $\widehat{G}$ , is the set of all group homomorphisms from G to  $\mathbb{U}$  together with the pointwise multiplication.

It is isomorphic to *G* itself. Its elements are called characters : for  $\alpha \in G$ , the character corresponding to  $\alpha$  (under the isomorphism) is denoted  $\chi_G^{\alpha}$ .

For instance if G is  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , then  $\chi_G^{\alpha}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha \cdot x}$ .

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ○ ○

## In the finite abelian groups setting (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets The dual group of G, denoted  $\widehat{G}$ , is the set of all group homomorphisms from G to  $\mathbb{U}$  together with the pointwise multiplication.

It is isomorphic to *G* itself. Its elements are called characters : for  $\alpha \in G$ , the character corresponding to  $\alpha$  (under the isomorphism) is denoted  $\chi_{G}^{\alpha}$ . For instance if *G* is  $\mathbb{Z}_{G}^{m}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{G}^{m}$ .

For instance if *G* is  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , then  $\chi_G^{\alpha}(x) = (-1)^{\alpha \cdot x}$ .

## In the finite abelian groups setting (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let *G* be a finite abelian group and  $\varphi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The (discrete) Fourier transform of  $\varphi$  is the function  $\widehat{\varphi}$  defined as

$$\widehat{\varphi}: \quad {oldsymbol G} \quad o \quad {\mathbb C} \ lpha \quad \mapsto \quad \sum_{{oldsymbol x}\in {oldsymbol G}} \varphi({oldsymbol x}) \chi^{lpha}_{{oldsymbol G}}({oldsymbol x}) \; .$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

## **Dual characterization**

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem (Carlet & Ding and Pott, 2004)

Let *G* and *H* be two finite abelian groups. Let  $f : G \to H$ . The function *f* is perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \alpha \in G$ ,  $\forall \beta \in H^*$ ,

$$\widehat{\chi^{\beta}_{H} \circ f}(\alpha)|^{2} = |G|$$
.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

When  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  and  $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , this is the classical notion of bentness introduced by Dillon.

## **Dual characterization**

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem (Carlet & Ding and Pott, 2004)

Let *G* and *H* be two finite abelian groups. Let  $f : G \to H$ . The function *f* is perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \alpha \in G$ ,  $\forall \beta \in H^*$ ,

$$\widehat{\chi^{\beta}_{H} \circ f}(\alpha)|^{2} = |G|$$
.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

When  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  and  $H = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , this is the classical notion of bentness introduced by Dillon.

## Impossible cases

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Due to implementation constraints we are interested in Boolean functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  but Boolean bent functions only exist when *m* is an even integer and  $m \ge 2n$ . Impossible cases : odd dimension (*m* is an odd integer) and

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## Impossible cases

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Due to implementation constraints we are interested in Boolean functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  but Boolean bent functions only exist when *m* is an even integer and  $m \ge 2n$ . Impossible cases : odd dimension (*m* is an odd integer) and plane dimension (*m* = *n*).

## Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks

## Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis

## 2 Traditional Approach

- Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions

## Difference sets

- Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let G be a finite group. Let $D \subset G$ . D is a $(v, k, \lambda)$ difference set of G if

(日)

 $\bullet v = |G|;$ 

k = |D|;

For each α ∈ G\*, the equation x − y = α has λ solutions (x, y) in D<sup>2</sup>.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# Let G be a finite group. Let $D \subset G$ . D is a $(v, k, \lambda)$ difference set of G if

(日)

- v = |G|;
- k = |D|;

For each α ∈ G\*, the equation x − y = α has λ solutions (x, y) in D<sup>2</sup>.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# Let *G* be a finite group. Let $D \subset G$ . *D* is a $(v, k, \lambda)$ difference set of *G* if

(日)

- v = |G|;
- k = |D|;

For each α ∈ G\*, the equation x − y = α has λ solutions (x, y) in D<sup>2</sup>.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Let *G* be a finite group. Let  $D \subset G$ . *D* is a  $(v, k, \lambda)$  difference set of *G* if

(日)

- v = |G|;
- k = |D|;
- For each α ∈ G<sup>\*</sup>, the equation x − y = α has λ solutions (x, y) in D<sup>2</sup>.

## Hadamard difference set

# $\begin{array}{c} G\text{-perfect}\\ \text{nonlinearity}\\ \text{Laurent}\\ \text{Poinsot} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{Differential}\\ \text{and Linear}\\ \text{Attacks} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{Definition}\\ \text{A}\left(v,k,\lambda\right) \text{ difference set } D \text{ of } G \text{ is a Hadamard difference}\\ \text{set if} \end{array}$

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

$$(v, k, \lambda) = (4n^2, 2n^2 \pm n, n(n \pm 1))$$

(日)

## Combinatorial characterization

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem (Carlet & Ding, 2004)

Let *G* be a finite abelian group such that  $|G| = 4n^2$ . A function  $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is perfect nonlinear if and only if its support  $S_f = \{x \in G | f(x) = 1\}$  is a Hadamard difference set of *G*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

This is a generalization of a result of Dillon (1974) concerning Boolean functions.

## Combinatorial characterization (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem (Plott, 2004)

If *G* and *H* are two finite groups then a function  $f : G \to H$  is (left-)perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\{(x, f(x)) | x \in G\} \subset G \times H$  is a splitting semiregular  $(|G|, |H|, |G|, \frac{|G|}{|H|})$  difference set of  $G \times H$  relative to  $\{0_G\} \times H$ .

## Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks

## Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis

## 2 Traditional Approach

- Perfect nonlinearity
- Bent functions
- Difference sets

## Application of bent functions

- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Cryptography ;

Mobile communications.

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Cryptography;

Mobile communications.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ 亘 のへぐ

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Cryptography;

Mobile communications.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ 亘 のへぐ

## Mobile communications (1) : Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Definition

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# Two vectors $u = (u_1, ..., u_m)$ and $v = (v_1, ..., v_m)$ are called orthogonal if

$$u.v=\sum_{i=1}^m u_iv_i=0.$$

▲□ ▶ ▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

For instance u = (1, 1, 1, -1) and v = (1, -1, 1, 1) are othogonal.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets V : set of mutually orthogonal vectors ;

Each sender  $S_x$  has a different, unique vector  $x \in V$  called chip code.

For instance  $S_u$  has u = (1, 1, 1, -1) and  $S_v$  has v = (1, -1, 1, 1);

 Objective : Simultaneous transmission of messages by several senders on the same channel (multiplexing).

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## ■ V : set of mutually orthogonal vectors ;

Each sender  $S_x$  has a different, unique vector  $x \in V$  called chip code.

For instance  $S_u$  has u = (1, 1, 1, -1) and  $S_v$  has v = (1, -1, 1, 1);

 Objective : Simultaneous transmission of messages by several senders on the same channel (multiplexing).

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differentia and Linear Attacks

## Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

- V : set of mutually orthogonal vectors ;
- Each sender  $S_x$  has a different, unique vector  $x \in V$  called chip code.
  - For instance  $S_u$  has u = (1, 1, 1, -1) and  $S_v$  has v = (1, -1, 1, 1);
- Objective : Simultaneous transmission of messages by several senders on the same channel (multiplexing).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differentia and Linear Attacks

## Traditional Approach

- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

- V : set of mutually orthogonal vectors ;
- Each sender  $S_x$  has a different, unique vector  $x \in V$  called chip code.
  - For instance  $S_u$  has u = (1, 1, 1, -1) and  $S_v$  has v = (1, -1, 1, 1);
- Objective : Simultaneous transmission of messages by several senders on the same channel (multiplexing).

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets S<sub>u</sub> wants to send  $d_u = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_v$  wants to send  $d_v = (0, 0, 1)$ ;

■  $S_u$  computes its transmitted vector by coding  $d_u$  with the rules  $0 \leftrightarrow -u$ ,  $1 \leftrightarrow u$ . He obtains (u, -u, u);

(日)

$$\blacksquare S_v \text{ computes } (-v, -v, v);$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets •  $S_u$  wants to send  $d_u = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_v$  wants to send  $d_v = (0, 0, 1)$ ;

■  $S_u$  computes its transmitted vector by coding  $d_u$  with the rules 0  $\leftrightarrow -u$ , 1  $\leftrightarrow u$ . He obtains (u, -u, u);

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

•  $S_v$  computes (-v, -v, v);

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- $S_u$  wants to send  $d_u = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_v$  wants to send  $d_v = (0, 0, 1)$ ;
- $S_u$  computes its transmitted vector by coding  $d_u$  with the rules  $0 \leftrightarrow -u$ ,  $1 \leftrightarrow u$ . He obtains (u, -u, u);

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

•  $S_v$  computes (-v, -v, v);

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

- $S_u$  wants to send  $d_u = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_v$  wants to send  $d_v = (0, 0, 1)$ ;
- $S_u$  computes its transmitted vector by coding  $d_u$  with the rules  $0 \leftrightarrow -u$ ,  $1 \leftrightarrow u$ . He obtains (u, -u, u);

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

S<sub>v</sub> computes 
$$(-v, -v, v)$$
;

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- $S_u$  wants to send  $d_u = (1, 0, 1)$  and  $S_v$  wants to send  $d_v = (0, 0, 1)$ ;
- $S_u$  computes its transmitted vector by coding  $d_u$  with the rules  $0 \leftrightarrow -u$ ,  $1 \leftrightarrow u$ . He obtains (u, -u, u);

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- S<sub>v</sub> computes (-v, -v, v);
- The message sent on the channel is (u v, -u v, u + v).

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A receiver gets the message M = (u - v, -u - v, u + v) and he needs to recover d<sub>u</sub> and/or d<sub>v</sub>;
 How to recover d<sub>u</sub>?

Take the first component of M, u - v and compute the dot-product with u : (u - v).u = u.u - v.u = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent;
 Take the second component of M - u - v and

(-u - v).u = -u.u - v.u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;

Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;

■ Likewise, applying the same process with chip code *v*, the receiver finds the message of *S<sub>v</sub>*.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets A receiver gets the message M = (u - v, -u - v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;

## How to recover $d_u$ ?

Take the first component of M, u - v and compute the dot-product with u: (u - v).u = u.u - v.u = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent;
 Take the second component of M, -u - v and

(-u - v).u = -u.u - v.u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;

Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;

Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of S<sub>v</sub>.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?

Take the first component of M, u - v and compute the dot-product with u : (u - v).u = u.u - v.u = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent;
 Take the second component of M, -u - v and (-u - v).u = -u.u - v.u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent :

Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes *d<sub>u</sub>*;

■ Likewise, applying the same process with chip code *v*, the receiver finds the message of *S<sub>v</sub>*.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?
  - Take the first component of M, u v and compute the dot-product with u : (u v).u = u.u v.u = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent ;
    Take the second component of M, –u v and (–u v).u = –u.u v.u = –4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent ;
  - Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;
- Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of  $S_v$ .
G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets • A receiver gets the message M = (u - v, -u - v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;

• How to recover  $d_u$ ?

Take the first component of M, u - v and compute the dot-product with u : (u - v).u = u.u - v.u = 4. Since

this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent;
Take the second component of M, −u − v and (−u − v).u = −u.u − v.u = −4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent:

Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes *d<sub>u</sub>*;

Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of  $S_v$ .

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?
  - Take the first component of M, u v and compute the dot-product with u : (u v).u = u.u v.u = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent;
  - Take the second component of M, -u v and (-u v).u = -u.u v.u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;
  - Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes *d*<sub>u</sub>;
- Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of  $S_v$ .

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?
  - Take the first component of *M*, *u* − *v* and compute the dot-product with *u* : (*u* − *v*).*u* = *u*.*u* − *v*.*u* = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent ;
  - Take the second component of M, -u v and (-u v). u = -u. u v. u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;
  - Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;
- Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of S<sub>v</sub>.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?
  - Take the first component of *M*, *u* − *v* and compute the dot-product with *u* : (*u* − *v*).*u* = *u*.*u* − *v*.*u* = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent ;
  - Take the second component of M, -u v and (-u v). u = -u. u v. u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;
  - Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;

Likewise, applying the same process with chip code v, the receiver finds the message of S<sub>v</sub>.

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

- A receiver gets the message M = (u v, -u v, u + v)and he needs to recover  $d_u$  and/or  $d_v$ ;
- How to recover  $d_u$ ?
  - Take the first component of *M*, *u* − *v* and compute the dot-product with *u* : (*u* − *v*).*u* = *u*.*u* − *v*.*u* = 4. Since this is positive, we can deduce that a one digit was sent ;
  - Take the second component of M, -u v and (-u v). u = -u. u v. u = -4. Since this is negative, we can deduce that a zero digit was sent;
  - Continuing in this fashion with the third component, the receiver successfully decodes d<sub>u</sub>;
- Likewise, applying the same process with chip code *v*, the receiver finds the message of *S*<sub>*v*</sub>.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \{0, 1\}$ be a bent function. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we define a vector :

$$u_{\alpha} = (f(\alpha), f(\alpha+1), \dots, f(\alpha+m-1)) .$$

In particular  $u_0 = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(m-1))$ . Then  $\{u_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal vectors.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \{0, 1\}$  be a bent function. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we define a vector :

$$u_{\alpha} = (f(\alpha), f(\alpha+1), \ldots, f(\alpha+m-1)).$$

In particular  $u_0 = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(m-1))$ . Then  $\{u_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal vectors.

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \{0, 1\}$  be a bent function. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we define a vector :

$$u_{\alpha} = (f(\alpha), f(\alpha+1), \ldots, f(\alpha+m-1)).$$

In particular  $u_0 = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(m-1))$ . Then  $\{u_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal vectors.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

#### Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \{0, 1\}$  be a bent function. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we define a vector :

$$u_{\alpha} = (f(\alpha), f(\alpha+1), \ldots, f(\alpha+m-1)).$$

In particular  $u_0 = (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(m-1))$ . Then  $\{u_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal vectors.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Recall on group actions;

Group action based perfect nonlinearity.

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
  - Dual notion of G-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let (G, \*) be any group and X be a nonempty set. A (left) group action of G on X is a group homomorphism  $\phi$  from G to the symmetric group S(X) of X (the group of permutations over X).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

n particular,

 $egin{aligned} \phi({f 0}_G) &= {\it Id}_X\,; \ orall (g_1,g_2) \in G^2, \, \phi(g_1*g_2) &= \phi(g_1)\circ\phi(g_2). \end{aligned}$ 

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets Let (G, \*) be any group and X be a nonempty set. A (left) group action of G on X is a group homomorphism  $\phi$  from G to the symmetric group S(X) of X (the group of permutations over X).

In particular,

$$\phi(\mathsf{0}_G) = \mathsf{Id}_X;$$

$$\forall (g_1,g_2) \in G^2, \, \phi(g_1*g_2) = \phi(g_1) \circ \phi(g_2).$$



of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differentia and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

Let *G* be a group that acts on a nonempty set *X*. For  $x \in X$ , the orbital function of *x* is defined as

$$\phi_{x}: egin{array}{ccc} G & 
ightarrow & X \ g & \mapsto & g. \end{array}$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

## The action $\phi$ of G on X is

**faithful** if  $\phi$  is one-to-one ;

**regular** if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi_x$  is a bijective function.

#### xamples

- The natural action of S(X) on X is faithful : for  $\pi \in S(X)$ and  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi . x = \pi(x)$ ;
- The action of *G* on itself by (left) translation is regular : for  $\alpha$  and *x* in *G*,  $\alpha . x = \alpha + x$ .

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

## The action $\phi$ of G on X is

**faithful** if  $\phi$  is one-to-one;

**regular** if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi_x$  is a bijective function.

## Examples

- The natural action of S(X) on X is faithful : for  $\pi \in S(X)$ and  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi . x = \pi(x)$ ;
- The action of *G* on itself by (left) translation is regular : for  $\alpha$  and *x* in *G*,  $\alpha . x = \alpha + x$ .

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

## The action $\phi$ of G on X is

- **faithful** if  $\phi$  is one-to-one;
- **regular** if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi_x$  is a bijective function.

## xamples

- The natural action of S(X) on X is faithful : for  $\pi \in S(X)$ and  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi . x = \pi(x)$ ;
- The action of *G* on itself by (left) translation is regular : for  $\alpha$  and *x* in *G*,  $\alpha . x = \alpha + x$ .

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

## The action $\phi$ of G on X is

- **faithful** if  $\phi$  is one-to-one;
- **regular** if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi_x$  is a bijective function.

## Examples

- The natural action of S(X) on X is faithful : for  $\pi \in S(X)$ and  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi . x = \pi(x)$ ;
- The action of *G* on itself by (left) translation is regular : for  $\alpha$  and *x* in *G*,  $\alpha . x = \alpha + x$ .

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets 4

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
  - Dual notion of G-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

# Definitions (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let *G* be a finite group (not necessary abelian) that (left) acts at least **faithfully** on a finite nonempty set *X* and let *H* be a finite abelian group (in an additive representation). Let  $f: X \rightarrow H$ .

The (left) derivative of f with respect to  $g \in G$  is defined as

$$D_g f: X \to H$$
  
 $x \mapsto f(g.x) - f(x).$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

This is exactly the classical notion of derivative where the addition  $\alpha + x$  is replaced by the group action  $\alpha.x$ .

# Definitions (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let *G* be a finite group (not necessary abelian) that (left) acts at least **faithfully** on a finite nonempty set *X* and let *H* be a finite abelian group (in an additive representation). Let  $f: X \rightarrow H$ .

The (left) derivative of *f* with respect to  $g \in G$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_g f: X \to H$$
  
 $x \mapsto f(g.x) - f(x).$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

This is exactly the classical notion of derivative where the addition  $\alpha + x$  is replaced by the group action  $\alpha.x$ .

# Definitions (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let *G* be a finite group (not necessary abelian) that (left) acts at least **faithfully** on a finite nonempty set *X* and let *H* be a finite abelian group (in an additive representation). Let  $f: X \rightarrow H$ .

The (left) derivative of *f* with respect to  $g \in G$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_g f: X \to H$$
  
 $x \mapsto f(g.x) - f(x).$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

This is exactly the classical notion of derivative where the addition  $\alpha + x$  is replaced by the group action  $\alpha . x$ .

# Definitions (2)

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

The function  $f : X \to H$  is called *G*-perfect nonlinear if  $\forall g \in G^*$ ,  $D_g f$  is balanced, *i.e.*  $\forall g \in G^*$  et  $\forall \beta \in H$ ,

 $|\{x \in X | f(g.x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}$ 

# Definitions (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

The function  $f : X \to H$  is called *G*-perfect nonlinear if  $\forall g \in G^*$ ,  $D_g f$  is balanced, *i.e.*  $\forall g \in G^*$  et  $\forall \beta \in H$ ,

$$|\{x \in X | f(\underline{g}.x) - f(x) = \beta\}| = \frac{|X|}{|H|}.$$

# Definitions (3)

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Remark

Since the action of *G* on *X* is faithful, there is no  $g \in G^*$  such that the map

$$egin{array}{rcl} D_g:&H^X& o&H^X\ &f&\mapsto&D_gf \end{array}$$

is identically null (*i.e.* for each  $f : X \to H$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $D_g f(x) = 0_H$ ).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

# First results

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Proposition

Let T(G) be the group of translations of G. A function  $f : G \to H$  is T(G)-perfect nonlinear if and only if f is classical (left) perfect nonlinear.

## Proposition

 $et f: X \to H.$ 

If f is G-perfect nonlinear then for each subgroup G' of G, it is also G'-perfect nonlinear.

# First results

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Proposition

Let T(G) be the group of translations of G. A function  $f : G \to H$  is T(G)-perfect nonlinear if and only if f is classical (left) perfect nonlinear.

## Proposition

Let  $f: X \to H$ .

If *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear then for each subgroup G' of *G*, *f* is also G'-perfect nonlinear.

# Objective

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

# Traditional duality : Perfect nonlinearity ⇔ Bentness (Carlet & Ding). Generalized duality :

(日)

*G*-perfect nonlinearity  $\Leftrightarrow$  ??

# Objective

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

- Traditional duality :
  - Perfect nonlinearity  $\Leftrightarrow$  Bentness (Carlet & Ding).

- Generalized duality :
  - *G*-perfect nonlinearity  $\Leftrightarrow$  ??

# Outline

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets **1** *G* is an abelian group ;

2 *G* is a nonabelian group.

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - *G*-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
  - 5 *G*-difference sets
    - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

G and H are both finite abelian group;

X is a finite nonempty set ;

• G acts (at least) faithfully on X (by  $\phi$ ).

or f:X o Y and  $x\in X$ , we define  $f_X:G o Y$  by

 $f_X = f \circ \phi_X$ .

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# Let given (G, H, X) such that G and H are both finite abelian group; X is a finite nonempty set; G acts (at least) faithfully on X (by φ).

or f:X o Y and  $x\in X,$  we define  $f_x:G o Y$  by

 $f_X = f \circ \phi_X \; .$ 

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

■ *G* and *H* are both finite abelian group ;

■ X is a finite nonempty set;

• G acts (at least) faithfully on X (by  $\phi$ ).

for  $f: X \to Y$  and  $x \in X$ , we define  $f_X: G \to Y$  by

 $f_X = f \circ \phi_X$ .

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

■ *G* and *H* are both finite abelian group ;

■ X is a finite nonempty set;

• G acts (at least) faithfully on X (by  $\phi$ ).

or  $f: X \to Y$  and  $x \in X$ , we define  $f_X: G \to Y$  by

 $f_X = f \circ \phi_X \; .$
# Assumptions and Notation

## G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

- *G* and *H* are both finite abelian group ;
- X is a finite nonempty set;
- *G* acts (at least) faithfully on *X* (by  $\phi$ ).

For  $f: X \to Y$  and  $x \in X$ , we define  $f_X : G \to Y$  by

$$f_X = f \circ \phi_X$$
.

# **Dual characterization**

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

### Theorem

A function  $f : X \to H$  is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if for each  $\beta \in H^*$  and for each  $g \in G$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|X|}\sum_{x\in X}|\widehat{(\chi_H^\beta\circ f_x)}(g)|^2=|G|\;.$$

Informally speaking, *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if  $f_x$  is bent on average over all  $x \in X$ .

# **Dual characterization**

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

A function  $f : X \to H$  is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if for each  $\beta \in H^*$  and for each  $g \in G$ ,

$$rac{1}{|X|}\sum_{x\in X}|\widehat{(\chi_H^eta\circ f_x)}(g)|^2=|G|\;.$$

Informally speaking, *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if  $f_x$  is bent on average over all  $x \in X$ .

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - *G*-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case

## Nonabelian case

- 5 G-diff
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

- Differentia and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Assumptions ;

- Recall on the theory of linear representations of groups;
- Dual characterization of left-perfect nolinearity ;
- Dual characterization of G-perfect nonlinearity.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Assumptions ;

 Recall on the theory of linear representations of groups;

Dual characterization of left-perfect nolinearity ;

Dual characterization of G-perfect nonlinearity.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

- Differentia and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Assumptions ;

 Recall on the theory of linear representations of groups;

Dual characterization of left-perfect nolinearity;

Dual characterization of G-perfect nonlinearity.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Assumptions ;

- Recall on the theory of linear representations of groups;
- Dual characterization of left-perfect nolinearity ;

Dual characterization of G-perfect nonlinearity.

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of *G*-bentness
- G-difference sets

- Assumptions ;
- Recall on the theory of linear representations of groups;
- Dual characterization of left-perfect nolinearity;
- Dual characterization of G-perfect nonlinearity.

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

G is a finite nonabelian group ;

■ *H* is a finite abelian group ;

X is a finite nonempty set on which G left acts at least faithfully.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

■ *G* is a finite nonabelian group ;

H is a finite abelian group ;

X is a finite nonempty set on which G left acts at least faithfully.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

■ *G* is a finite nonabelian group ;

 $\blacksquare$  *H* is a finite abelian group;

X is a finite nonempty set on which G left acts at least faithfully.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of *G*-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Let given (G, H, X) such that

- *G* is a finite nonabelian group ;
- $\blacksquare$  *H* is a finite abelian group;
- X is a finite nonempty set on which G left acts at least faithfully.

(日)

# Recall on linear representations (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let *V* be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of finite dimension dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>(*V*). The unitary group  $\mathbb{U}(V)$  is the group of bijective linear functions *U* such that  $U^{-1} = U^*$ . A (unitary) linear representation of *G* on *V* is a group homorphism  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$ .

# Recall on linear representations (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition Let *V* be a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of finite dimension dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>(*V*). The unitary group $\mathbb{U}(V)$ is the group of bijective linear functions *U* such that $U^{-1} = U^*$ .

A (unitary) linear representation of G on V is a group nomorphism  $ho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(V)$ .

# Recall on linear representations (1)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Definition

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let *V* be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of finite dimension dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>(*V*). The unitary group  $\mathbb{U}(V)$  is the group of bijective linear functions *U* such that  $U^{-1} = U^*$ . A (unitary) linear representation of *G* on *V* is a group homorphism  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$ .

# Recall on linear representations (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$  be a linear representation. A subvector space W of V is said stable with respect to  $\rho$  if for each  $g \in G$ , the image by  $\rho(g)$  of each element of Wbelongs to W.

A representation  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$  is called irreducible if V and  $\{0_V\}$  are the ony stable subvector spaces of V (with respect o  $\rho$ ).

# Recall on linear representations (2)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets Let  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$  be a linear representation. A subvector space W of V is said stable with respect to  $\rho$  if for each  $g \in G$ , the image by  $\rho(g)$  of each element of Wbelongs to W.

A representation  $\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V)$  is called irreducible if V and  $\{0_V\}$  are the ony stable subvector spaces of V (with respect to  $\rho$ ).

# Recall on linear representations (3)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Two representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of *G* respectively on the vector spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a vector space isomorphism  $\Psi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\Psi\circ
ho_1(g)=
ho_2(g)\circ\Psi$$
 .

# Recall on linear representations (4)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets One denotes  $\widehat{G}$  a system of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a given group G. If G is commutative then  $\widehat{G}$  is the dual group of G. Unfortunatly if G is nonabelian then  $\widehat{G}$  is no more a group [

< 日 > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Recall on linear representations (4)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets One denotes  $\widehat{G}$  a system of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a given group G. If G is commutative then  $\widehat{G}$  is the dual group of G.

Unfortunatly if G is nonabelian then G is no more a group !

# Recall on linear representations (4)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets One denotes  $\widehat{G}$  a system of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a given group *G*. If *G* is commutative then  $\widehat{G}$  is the dual group of *G*. Unfortunatly if *G* is nonabelian then  $\widehat{G}$  is no more a group !

# Recall on linear representations (5)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let  $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$  (associated with the vector space *V*). The Fourier transform of  $\varphi$  in  $\rho$  is given by

$$\widehat{arphi}(
ho) = \sum_{oldsymbol{x}\in oldsymbol{G}} arphi(oldsymbol{x}) 
ho(oldsymbol{x}) \in \mathit{End}(V) \;.$$

(日)

# Dual characterisation of left-perfect nonlinearity

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

### Recall

Let *G* be a finite nonabelian group and *H* a finite abelian group. Let  $f : G \to H$ . The function *f* is (left) perfect nonlinear if  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$ ,  $d_{\alpha}f : x \mapsto f(\alpha + x) - f(x)$  is balanced.

#### heorem

A function  $f : G \to H$  is (left) perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \beta \in H^*$  and  $\forall \rho \in \widehat{G} \ (\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V))$ ,

 $\widehat{(\chi_H^\beta \circ f(\rho))} \circ \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta \circ f(\rho))^*} = |G| Id_V$ 

# Dual characterisation of left-perfect nonlinearity

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

### Recall

Let *G* be a finite nonabelian group and *H* a finite abelian group. Let  $f : G \to H$ . The function *f* is (left) perfect nonlinear if  $\forall \alpha \in G^*$ ,  $d_{\alpha}f : x \mapsto f(\alpha + x) - f(x)$  is balanced.

## Theorem

A function  $f : G \to H$  is (left) perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \beta \in H^*$  and  $\forall \rho \in \widehat{G} \ (\rho : G \to \mathbb{U}(V))$ ,

$$\widehat{(\chi_H^\beta \circ f(\rho))} \circ \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta \circ f(\rho))^*} = |G| Id_V$$

# Dual characterisation of left-perfect nonlinear (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Using the trace of endomorphisms, we obtain

$$\|\widehat{\chi_{H}^{\beta}\circ f}(\rho)\|^{2} = |G|\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

### Question

Is it a sufficient condition for (left) perfect nonlinearity?

# Dual characterisation of left-perfect nonlinear (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Using the trace of endomorphisms, we obtain

$$\|\widehat{\chi_{H}^{\beta}\circ f}(\rho)\|^{2} = |G|\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

## Question

Is it a sufficient condition for (left) perfect nonlinearity?

# Dual characterisation of G-perfect nonlinearity

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

## Recall

Let *G* be a finite nonabelian group that acts (at least faithfully) on a finite nonempty set *X* and let *H* be a finite abelian group. Let  $f : X \to H$ . The function *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear if  $\forall g \in G^*$ ,  $D_g f : x \mapsto f(g.x) - f(x)$  is balanced.

#### Objective

Find the dual characterization of such *G*-perfect nonlinear functions.

# Dual characterisation of G-perfect nonlinearity

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Recall

Let *G* be a finite nonabelian group that acts (at least faithfully) on a finite nonempty set *X* and let *H* be a finite abelian group. Let  $f : X \to H$ . The function *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear if  $\forall g \in G^*$ ,  $D_g f : x \mapsto f(g.x) - f(x)$  is balanced.

## Objective

Find the dual characterization of such *G*-perfect nonlinear functions.

# Dual characterisation of *G*-perfect nonlinearity (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Dual characterization

A function  $f: X \to H$  is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \beta \in H^*$  and  $\forall \rho \in \widehat{G}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|X|}\sum_{x\in X} \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta\circ f_x(\rho))}\circ \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta\circ f_x(\rho))^*} = |G| Id_V.$$

As in the abelian case, this is also a notion of bentness in average but this time we use the dual characterization of left perfect nonlinear functions rather than the classical one.

# Dual characterisation of *G*-perfect nonlinearity (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Dual characterization

A function  $f: X \to H$  is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if  $\forall \beta \in H^*$  and  $\forall \rho \in \widehat{G}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|X|}\sum_{x\in X} \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta\circ f_x(\rho))}\circ \widehat{(\chi_H^\beta\circ f_x(\rho))^*} = |G| Id_V.$$

As in the abelian case, this is also a notion of bentness in average but this time we use the dual characterization of left perfect nonlinear functions rather than the classical one.

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
  - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
  - Dual notion of G-bentness
    - Abelian case
    - Nonabelian case
- 5 *G*-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization
  - Constructions

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・ 日 のへで

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

```
Let G be a finite group (not necessarly abelian) that (left)
acts (at least) faithfully on a finite nonempty set X. Let
D \subset X.
D is a G-(v, k, \lambda)-difference set of X if
v = |X|;
k = |D|;
For each g \in G^*, the equation x = g \cdot y has \lambda solution
(x, y) in D^2.
```

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let *G* be a finite group (not necessarly abelian) that (left) acts (at least) faithfully on a finite nonempty set *X*. Let  $D \subset X$ . *D* is a *G*-(*v*, *k*,  $\lambda$ )-difference set of *X* if v = |X|; k = |D|; For each  $g \in G^*$ , the equation x = g.y has  $\lambda$  solutions (x, y) in  $D^2$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let *G* be a finite group (not necessarly abelian) that (left) acts (at least) faithfully on a finite nonempty set *X*. Let  $D \subset X$ . *D* is a *G*-(*v*, *k*,  $\lambda$ )-difference set of *X* if v = |X|; k = |D|; For each  $g \in G^*$ , the equation x = g.y has  $\lambda$  solutions

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Definition

Let *G* be a finite group (not necessarly abelian) that (left) acts (at least) faithfully on a finite nonempty set *X*. Let  $D \subset X$ .

```
D is a G(v, k, \lambda)-difference set of X if
```

• 
$$v = |X|;$$

 $\bullet k = |D|;$ 

■ For each g ∈ G\*, the equation x = g.y has λ solutions (x, y) in D<sup>2</sup>.
# Definition and Combinatorial characterization (cont'd)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Proposition

Let *G* be a finite group (not necessarly abelian) that (left) acts (at least) faithfully on a finite nonempty set *X*. We also suppose that  $|X| \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The function *f* is *G*-perfect nonlinear if and only if its support *S*<sub>f</sub> is a *G*-(*v*, *k*,  $\lambda$ )-difference set of *X* such that

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{4}(\mathbf{k} - \lambda)$$
.

# Outline

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

- Laurent Poinsot
- Differential and Linear Attacks
- Traditional Approach
- Group action based perfect nonlinearity
- Dual notion of G-bentness
- G-difference sets

## Differential and Linear Attacks

- Basics on cryptography
- Basics on cryptanalysis
- 2 Traditional Approach
  - Perfect nonlinearity
    - Bent functions
  - Difference sets
  - Application of bent functions
- 3 Group action based perfect nonlinearity
  - Recall on group actions
  - G-perfect nonlinearity
- 4 Dual notion of *G*-bentness
  - Abelian case
  - Nonabelian case
- 5 G-difference sets
  - Definition and Combinatorial characterization

Constructions

# Odd dimension

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of *G*-bentness

G-difference sets

#### Iheorem

Let *m* and *n* be two odd integers. Then it is possible to construct a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{2m+n} \to \{0, 1\}$  which is  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -bent.

#### lemark

Because *m* and *n* are odd integers there is no classical bent function from  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m+n}$  to  $\{0,1\}$  or also from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to  $\{0,1\}$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Odd dimension

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

#### Theorem

Let *m* and *n* be two odd integers. Then it is possible to construct a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{2m+n} \to \{0, 1\}$  which is  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -bent.

#### emark

Because *m* and *n* are odd integers there is no classical bent function from  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m+n}$  to  $\{0,1\}$  or also from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to  $\{0,1\}$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Odd dimension

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

#### Theorem

Let *m* and *n* be two odd integers. Then it is possible to construct a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{2m+n} \to \{0, 1\}$  which is  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -bent.

## Remark

Because *m* and *n* are odd integers there is no classical bent function from  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m+n}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  or also from  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ .

(日)

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

### Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

#### roof

## Let $x \in GF(2^m)$ and $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ , $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let $\beta \in GF(2^m)$

 $f(\alpha.x) \oplus f(x) =$   $\Leftrightarrow f(\alpha x \oplus x) =$  $\Leftrightarrow (\alpha \oplus 1)x =$ 

$$= \stackrel{'}{\beta} \\ = f^{-1}(\beta) \\ = \frac{f^{-1}(\beta)}{(\alpha \oplus 1)}$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

## Proof

Let  $x \in GF(2^m)$  and  $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $\beta \in GF(2^m)$ .

 $f(\alpha . x) \oplus f(x) = \beta$   $\Rightarrow f(\alpha x \oplus x) = \beta$   $\Rightarrow (\alpha \oplus 1)x = f^{-1}$  $\Rightarrow x = \frac{f^{-1}}{(\alpha \oplus 1)^{-1}}$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

## Proof

Let  $x \in GF(2^m)$  and  $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $\beta \in GF(2^m)$ .

$$f(\alpha . x) \oplus f(x) = \beta$$

$$f(\alpha x \oplus x) = \beta$$

$$(\alpha \oplus 1)x = f$$

$$x = -\beta$$

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

## Proof

Let  $x \in GF(2^m)$  and  $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $\beta \in GF(2^m)$ .

$$f(\alpha.x) \oplus f(x) =$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha x \oplus x) =$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha \oplus 1)x =$$

$$\Rightarrow x =$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

## Proof

Let  $x \in GF(2^m)$  and  $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $\beta \in GF(2^m)$ .

$$f(\alpha.x) \oplus f(x) = \beta$$
  

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha x \oplus x) = \beta$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha \oplus 1)x = f^{-1}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{f^{-1}}{(\alpha \oplus 1)^2}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

 $(\beta)$ 

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differential and Linear Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

## Theorem

Let  $f: GF(2^m) \to GF(2^m)$  be a field automorphism. Then f is  $GF(2^m)^*$ -perfect nonlinear.

## Proof

Let  $x \in GF(2^m)$  and  $\alpha \in GF(2^m)^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $\beta \in GF(2^m)$ .

$$f(\alpha.x) \oplus f(x) = \beta$$
  

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha x \oplus x) = \beta$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha \oplus 1)x = f^{-1}(\alpha \oplus 1)x$$
  

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{f^{-1}}{(\alpha \oplus 1)}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### G-perfect nonlinearity

Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linea Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# MERCI ! Allez les Bleus !

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ 亘 のへぐ

G-perfect nonlinearity

> Laurent Poinsot

Differentia and Linea Attacks

Traditional Approach

Group action based perfect nonlinearity

Dual notion of G-bentness

G-difference sets

# MERCI ! Allez les Bleus !

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ 亘 のへぐ